

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,119	08/01/2003	Wen Li	121652 (GEGRC 0101 PA)	3661
75	7590 12/28/2004 EXA		INER	
Thomas E. Donohue Artz & Artz, P.C.			HO, ALLEN C	
Suite 250	o.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
28333 Telegraph Road			2882	.
Southfield, MI	48034		DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/633,119	LI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
	Allen C. Ho	2882	Ber				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a concept of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions from the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the followill apply and will expire SIX (6) Months tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	1 August 2003.						
• • •							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	·	·				
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/ar	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·	-··					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication for a line of the papplicat	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	tage				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		y Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>082004</u> .	~	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 48. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.

2. Fig. 1 is objected to because the reference number 24 should be replaced by 48.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Paragraph [0017], line 5, "28" should be replaced by --29--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 4. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 7-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shahar 5. et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,905,264).

With regard to claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9-12, and 14-16, Shahar et al. disclosed an imaging system comprising: an x-ray source (column 3, lines 57-63); a detector array (Fig. 2) comprising a plurality of direct conversion detector elements (200) configured to convert x-ray photons into electric current, each of the plurality of direct conversion detector elements comprising: a cathode surface (202); an anode surface (203) having a plurality of anode side edges; a plurality of detector side surfaces (210) connecting the cathode surface to the anode surface; a pixel array assembly (203) positioned on the anode surface, the pixel array assembly including a plurality of pixel side edges; and a guard ring (212) mounted around the plurality of detector side surfaces,

the guard ring including an upper ring edge, a lower ring edge, and a ring outer surface including a guard ring height, the ring outer surface positioned coplanar with the pixel side edges.

With regard to claims 2 and 13, Shahar et al. disclosed an imaging system as in claims 2 and 11, further comprising a voltage source biasing the guard ring with a bias voltage (V2).

With regard to claim 8, Shahar et al. disclosed an imaging system as in claim 1, wherein the direct conversion detector element comprises a CdTe detector (column4, lines 46-52).

With regard to claim 17, Shahar et al. disclosed a method of improving the performance of peripheral pixel elements positioned on an anode surface (203) of a direct conversion detector element, the direct conversion detector element having a cathode surface (202) and a plurality of detector side surfaces, comprising: applying a guard ring (212) around the plurality of detector side surfaces, the guard ring applied coplanar to the peripheral pixel elements.

With regard to claim 18, Shahar et al. disclosed a method as described in claim 17, further comprising: applying a bias voltage (V2) to the guard ring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 6. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shahar et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,905,264) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lee et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,563,421).

With regard to claim 6, Shahar et al. disclosed an imaging system as in claim 1. However, Shahar et al. failed to teach that the direct conversion detector element comprises amorphous selenium.

Lee et al. disclosed amorphous selenium as a conversion material (column 4, lines 8-24).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ amorphous selenium in the direct conversion element, since a person would be motivated to use a material that has demonstrated usability as an x-ray conversion material.

8. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shahar et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,905,264) as applied to claim 17 above.

With regard to claims 19 and 20, Shahar et al. disclosed a method as in claim 17. However, Shahar et al. failed to teach the steps of adjusting a guard ring height and a guard ring position.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust a guard ring height and a guard ring position, since a person would be motivated to optimize the effectiveness of the electric field produced by the guard ring.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - (1) Perner *et al.* (U. S. Patent No. 6,545,711 B1) disclosed a photodiode pixel sensor array having a guard ring.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,119 Page 6

Art Unit: 2882

(2) Pyyhtiä et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,380,528 B1) disclosed an imaging device.

(3) Shahar et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,034,373) disclosed a semiconductor radiation

detector with reduced surface effects.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Allen C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-2491. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached at (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

allen C Ho

Allen C. Ho Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2882